## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Case No. 1:15-cr-00106
v.	)	Honorable Janet T. Neff
MAURICE RAY, JR.,	)	
Defendant.	)	

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1, I conducted a plea hearing in the captioned case on November 2, 2015, after receiving the written consent of defendant and all counsel. At the hearing, defendant Maurice Ray, Jr., entered a plea of guilty to Count 1 of the Superseding Indictment in exchange for the undertakings made by the government in the written plea agreement. In Count 1 of the Superseding Indictment, defendant is charged with conspiracy to distribute and to possess with intent to distribute heroin in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 846, 841(a)(1), and 841(b)(1)(B)(i). On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find that defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; that the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; that it is made voluntarily and free from any force, threats, or promises, apart from the promises in the plea agreement; that the defendant understands the nature of the charge and penalties provided by law; and that the plea has a sufficient basis in fact.

Case 1:15-cr-00106-JTN ECF No. 127 filed 11/02/15 PageID.1026 Page 2 of 2

Accordingly, I recommend that defendant's plea of guilty to Count 1 of the Superseding

Indictment be accepted, that the court adjudicate defendant guilty, and that the written plea

agreement be considered for acceptance at the time of sentencing. Acceptance of the plea,

adjudication of guilt, acceptance of the plea agreement, and imposition of sentence are specifically

reserved for the district judge.

Date: November 2, 2015

/s/ Phillip J. Green

PHILLIP J. GREEN

United States Magistrate Judge

**NOTICE TO PARTIES** 

You have the right to <u>de novo</u> review of the foregoing findings by the district judge. Any application for review must be in writing, must specify the portions of the findings or proceedings objected to, and must be filed and served no later than 14 days after the plea hearing. *See* W.D.

MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1(d).

- 2 -